

**Sri A. BHEEMAPPA NAIK.**—A difference has been shown in similar cases where some persons transferred to the Secretariat by the Government have been given benefits and those who went to the Secretariat on their own volition were denied these benefits.

**Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.**—I am not aware of any such benefits being given.

**Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.**—I want to know whether service in the Secretariat alone is Government service and not service in other departments?

**Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.**—When a person is employed by Government he is a Government servant.

**Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.**—Then why should a distinction be made between service rendered in the Secretariat and service rendered elsewhere?

**Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.**—Possibly they have to work harder and for longer hours here.

**Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.**—These people were transferred in 1945 and their case was settled by reference to the Public Service Commission in 1950, after a long period. This matter was taken up when there was the Central Recruitment Board. There are very many instances where the Government have not completely accepted the recommendations of the Public Service Commission. Therefore I would put it to the Government whether they are willing to reconsider the whole question.

**Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.**—It is a suggestion for action. I will examine.

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—Question time is over.

### QUESTION FOR ANSWER ON THE DAY (but not taken up)

#### Taking over of Local Bodies' Dispensaries by Government.

**Q.—1554.** Sri Y. MANJAYA SHETTY (Baindur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether there is any proposal to take over the Dispensaries run by Local Bodies;

(b) if so, the number of Dispensaries in South Kanara proposed to be taken over by the Government in 1957-58;

(c) whether they are aware of the decision of the Madras Government before re-organisation of States to take over or provincialise Vandse Dispensary in South Kanara District by the Government;

(d) if so whether they propose to implement the said decision and if so, when?

**A.—Sri R. M. PATIL** (Minister for Health).—

(a) No.

(b) Does not arise.

(c) Government of Madras did not decide to provincialise the Dispensary at Vandse.

(d) Does not arise.

### PAPER LAID ON THE TABLE.

**Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA** (Minister for Labour and Legal Affairs).—Sir, I lay on the Table Notification No. LAW 2 LGR 58, dated 31st March 1958, issued under section 15 of the Mysore Legislature Salaries Act, 1956 (Mysore Act II of 1957) relating to the amendment of the Mysore Residences of Chairman and Speaker (Furnishing) Rules, 1956.

### Business of the House.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಡಿ. ನರಸಿಂಹೆಗೌಡ (ಶಾಖಾಬೆಳಗೌಡ).— ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ, ಸದ್ಯದ ಅಧಿಕ್ರೇತವು ಎಲ್ಲಾರೂ ದಿವಸ ಮಾಂದವರಿಯಾವುದು ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ನಿನ್ನೆಯ ದಿವಸ ರಂಭಂ ಚೈರ್ಮನ್ ನಂತರ ಹೇಳುತ್ತೇನೆ ಎಂದು. ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು ಅಷ್ಟಂತೆ ದಿನದಿನ ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರದಲ್ಲಿ. ಆದರೆ ಇನ್ನೂ ಎಷ್ಟು ದಿವಸ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಹೇಳಲಿಲ್ಲ. ನಾವೆಲ್ಲರೂ ವ್ಯವಸಾಯ ಗಾರಾರು. ಉಲಿಗೆ ಪಾಪನ್ನು ಹೇಳಿಗೆರುತ್ತಾಗಿದೆ. ರೈತರಿಗೆ ತೊಂದರೆಯಾಗುತ್ತಾದೆ. ನಮಗೆ ಬಹಳ ಕೆಲಸ ಉರಿನಲ್ಲದೆ. ಅದ್ದಿಂದ ಯಾವ ದಿವಸದ ವರೆಗೆ ಅಧಿಕ್ರೇತವು ಮಾಂದು ವರಿಯಾತ್ಮದೆ ಎಂಬುದನ್ನು ಬಿಡಿತ ವಾಗಿ ಹೇಳಿದರೆ ಒಳ್ಳಿಯಂದು ನನಗೆ ಕಾಣುತ್ತದೆ.

**Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA** (Chief Minister)—I know Hon'ble Members have been complaining of the long duration during which they have been pleased to sit and co-operate with us. I think we will sit today and tomorrow. We have got a small Bill. We will finish it off.

**Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.**—Thank you for the information.

**Statement made by the Minister for Revenue to the Press.**

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—We have to take up today the point raised by the Hon'ble Member Sri Mallaradhy. On this point, I find that Sri Mallaradhy, Sri M. C. Narasimhan and Sri Muckkannappa have already spoken. If there is any Hon'ble Member who wants to take part in the discussion on the point raised, I will give only seven minutes in each case.

**Sri Y. VEERAPPA** (Holenarsipur).—What is the subject?

**Mr. SPEAKER.**—Appropriateness of the Minister's statement outside the House.

**Sri M. P. PATIL** (Minister for Revenue).—Sir, I held a press conference on the 5th March 1958 and explained some points regarding the orders already issued by the Government. Sir, in the case of that Press Conference, some of the Hon'ble Members have taken objection that as that statement was a statement of policy, the Minister ought not to have held the press conference and made that statement in the press conference when the Assembly was sitting.

Sir, the other point raised was about the provision of gomal. There is a scheme sanctioned by the Government for the improvement of gomal and that scheme was sanctioned on 4th March. In my press conference, I referred to that scheme.

Sir, as a matter of fact, that scheme was included in the supplementary Demands and I think the copy of the supplementary Demand was supplied to the House probably on the 6th. Therefore, they took the objection that

as the supplementary Demand copy was not supplied to the Members before that date, the Minister ought not to have declared the scheme and the provision made for that scheme in the press conference.

Sir, in this case, I am glad to place all the facts before the Hon'ble House so that the House will be in a position to understand clearly the position which was prevailing at that time.

Sir, in the press conference, I referred to four points. Out of these four points, objection is taken for two points. One is the introduction of non-agricultural assessment in the former Mysore area and the other one is, the improvement of Gomal.

Sir, as far as the non-agricultural assessment is concerned, the Government had issued a notification already on the 21st February 1958 and that notification was published in the Gazette. That notification gives all the details about the assessment to be levied in the whole of the State. Similarly, Sir, a press note was issued about this notification on 1st March 1958. Sir, I held the press conference on 5th March 1958 and in the conference, I drew the attention of the journalists to the rules which had been already published on the 21st February and explained these rules. I did not state anything new in the press conference which was not contained in these rules or in the press note issued on 1st March 1958. Sir, from that point of view, it will be seen that I have not made any new announcement on the 5th March. I simply explained the Rules already notified on the 21st February and contained in the press note.

Sir, regarding the gomal scheme, the gomal scheme was sanctioned on 4th March 1958 and in that scheme the provision for Rs. 5,000 was made for the purpose.

**Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA** (Nanjan-gud).—Was this order published in the Gazette, Sir?

**Sri M. P. PATIL.**—It is a Government Order and all Government orders are not published in the Gazette.